arXiv:1203.2978v1 [math.DG] 14 Mar 2012

RELATIONS BETWEEN THE KÄHLER CONE AND THE BALANCED CONE OF A KÄHLER MANIFOLD

JIXIANG FU AND JIAN XIAO

Abstract. In this paper, we consider a natural map from the Kähler cone to the balanced cone of a Kähler manifold. We study its injectivity and surjecticity. We also give an analytic characterization theorem on a nef class being Kähler.

1. Introduction

A balanced metric on a complex n-dimensional manifold is a Hermitian metric such that its corresponding Hermitian form ω satisfies $d(\omega^{n-1}) = 0$. We will call directly such ω a balanced metric. It's easy to see that the existence of a balanced metric is equivalent to the existence of a d-closed strictly positive $(n-1, n-1)$-form Ω (see [18]). Hence we will also call such Ω a balanced metric. We introduce the (real) Bott-Chern cohomology group

$$H^{p,p}_{BC}(X,\mathbb{R}) = \{\text{real } d\text{-closed } (p,p)\text{-forms}\}/i\partial\bar\partial\{\text{real } (p-1,p-1)\text{-forms}\}.$$

Its element will be denoted by $[\cdot]_{bc}$. Then the cohomology classes of all balanced metrics as real $(n-1, n-1)$-forms form an open convex cone in $H^{n-1,n-1}_{BC}(X,\mathbb{R})$:

$$\mathcal{B} \quad = \quad \{[\Omega]_{bc} \in H^{n-1,n-1}_{BC}(X,\mathbb{R}) | \Omega \text{ is a balanced metric}\}.$$

It is called the balanced cone of X. Note that the zero cohomology class may be in $\mathcal{B}$. For example, Fu-Li-Yau [12] constructed a balanced metric ω on the connected sum $\#_k(S^3 \times S^3)$ of k copies of $S^3 \times S^3$. Since $H^{2,2}_{BC}\big(\#_k(S^3\times S^3),\mathbb{R}\big) = 0$, $[\omega^2]_{bc} = 0 \in \mathcal{B}$. Clearly if 0 is in $\mathcal{B}$, then $\mathcal{B} = H^{n-1,n-1}_{BC}(X,\mathbb{R})$. However, if X is Kähler, then 0 is not in $\mathcal{B}$.

Now we assume that X is a compact Kähler manifold. In this case, it is well known that, by the $\partial\bar\partial$-lemma, $H^{p,p}_{BC}(X,\mathbb{R})$ is the same as the cohomology group $H^{p,p}_{dR}(X,\mathbb{R})$, the set of the de Rham classes representable by a real d-closed (p,p)-form, see [23]. The Kähler cone $\mathcal{K}$ of X is defined to be

$$\mathcal{K} \quad = \quad \{[\omega] \in H^{1,1}_{dR}(X,\mathbb{R}) | \omega \text{ is a Kähler metric}\}.$$

It is also an open convex cone. It was studied thoroughly by Demailly and Paun [11] . If $n = 2$, then the balanced cone and the Kähler cone are automatically equivalent. So in the following we will assume that $n \geq 3$.

The balanced cone of a Kähler manifold is related to the cone of the movable classes. (See [7] for its definition.) This point was told to the first named author by Professor Demailly two years ago. Indeed, along the line of Toma's proof in [19], we can deduce that the closure of the balanced cone is equivalent to the cone of the movable classes if one can prove conjecture 2.3 in [7].

In this note, motivated by papers [13, 14], we consider the map

$$\mathbf{b}:\mathcal{K}\to\mathcal{B} \quad \text{by} \quad \mathbf{b}([\omega])=[\omega^{n-1}].$$

Clearly it is well-defined and it can be extended to the map

$$\overline{\mathbf{b}}:\overline{\mathcal{K}}\to\overline{\mathcal{B}},$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ are the closures of the corresponding cones. We want to study the properties of $\mathbf{b}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{b}}$. First we will prove that $\mathbf{b}$ embeds $\mathcal{K}$ into $\mathcal{B}$.

Proposition 1.1. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold. Then the map $\mathbf{b}$ is injective.*

We use Yau's celebrated theorem on complex Monge-Ampere equations on Kähler manifolds and the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality to prove the above proposition. We can generalize it to the map $\overline{\mathbf{b}}$ if we use Demailly's convexity inequality in [9] and replace Yau's theorem by the theorem of Boucksom-Eyssidieux-Guedj-Zeriahi [6] on Monge-Ampere equations in big cohomology classes. We recall that in the Kähler case a cohomology class $[\alpha]\in H^{1,1}_{dR}(X,\mathbb{R})$ is nef if $[\alpha]\in\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ and is big if $\int_X\alpha^n>0$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a compact n-dimensional Kähler manifold. Then the map $\overline{\mathbf{b}}$ when restricted to the set of nef and big classes is injective.*

The complex torus T^n for $n\geq 3$ shows that the condition "big" in the above theorem can not be omitted. But it is not clear whether we can omit the condition "nef".

In general $\mathbf{b}$ is not surjective. Actually we will show that $\overline{\mathbf{b}}(\partial\mathcal{K})\cap\mathcal{B}$ need not to be empty. Let

$$\mathcal{K}_{NS}=\mathcal{K}\cap NS_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where

$$NS_{\mathbb{R}}=\left(H^{1,1}_{BC}(X,\mathbb{R})\cap H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\text{free}}\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{R}$$

is the real Neron-Severi group of X. Then, if X is a projective Calabi-Yau manifold (i.e. a projective manifold with $c_1=0$), we can characterize when a nef and big class $[\alpha]\in\partial\mathcal{K}_{NS}$ will be mapped into $\mathcal{B}$ by $\overline{\mathbf{b}}$. This is because, inspired by the method in [19], we can give two sufficient conditions of a d-closed nonnegative $(n-1,n-1)$-form to be in a balanced class. Applying these two criterions to Proposition 4.1 in [22] yields

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a projective Calabi-Yau manifold. If $[\alpha]\in\partial\mathcal{K}$, then $\overline{\mathbf{b}}([\alpha])\in\mathcal{B}$ implies $[\alpha]$ is a big class. On the other hand, if $[\alpha]\in\partial\mathcal{K}_{NS}$ is a big class, then $\overline{\mathbf{b}}([\alpha])\in\mathcal{B}$ if and only if the exceptional set $Exc(F_{[\alpha]})$ of the contraction map $F_{[\alpha]}$ induced by $[\alpha]$ is of codimension bigger than one, or $Exc(F_{[\alpha]})$ is of codimension one with irreducible components $E_1,\cdots,E_k$ such that $[\alpha^{n-1}]\cdot[E_j]>0$ for all $1\leq j\leq k$.*

The map $F_{[\alpha]}$ is described in [22]. We will give some examples satisfying the conditions in the above theorem which then show that the balanced cone can be bigger than the image of the Kähler cone under the map $\mathbf{b}$.

At last we assume that X is an n-dimensional Kähler manifold with holomorphically trivial canonical bundle. (Hence X is a Calabi-Yau manifold.) We will give an analytic method to distinguish between the Kähler classes and the nef but not Kähler classes which are mapped into the balanced cone. We fix a Calabi-Yau metric ω_0 satisfying $\int_X \omega_0^n = 1$ and a non-vanishing holomorphic n-form ζ such that $\parallel \zeta \parallel_{\omega_0} = 1$. For any Kähler class $[\omega]$, Yau's theorem says that there exists a unique Calabi-Yau metric $\omega_{CY} \in [\omega]$ such that $\parallel \zeta \parallel_{\omega_{CY}}$, the (pointwise) norm of ζ with respect to ω_{CY}, is a constant. Under the above assumption, this constant can be computed as follows:

$$\parallel \zeta \parallel^2_{\omega_{CY}} = \frac{\parallel \zeta \parallel^2_{\omega_{CY}}}{\parallel \zeta \parallel^2_{\omega_0}} = \frac{\omega_0^n}{\omega_{CY}^n} = \frac{1}{\int_X \omega_{CY}^n} = \frac{1}{\int_X \omega^n}.$$

We can also ask whether there exist any balanced metrics Ω_{CY} in a given balanced class $[\Omega] \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\parallel \zeta \parallel^2_{\Omega_{CY}} = c \tag{1.1}$$

is a constant. This is the motivation of papers [13, 14]. There may be infinite many solutions to equation (1.1) in a given balanced class. For example, Wang, Wu and the first named author [13] proved that if X is a complex n-torus for $n \geq 3$, then for a given Kähler metric ω and for any constant $c \geq (\int_{T^n} \omega^n)^{-1}$, equation (1.1) has solutions in $[\omega^{n-1}]$. They also proved that for any Calabi-Yau manifold X and a given Kähler metric ω on X, if equation (1.1) has a solution in $[\omega^{n-1}]$ for $c \leq (\int_X \omega^n)^{-1}$, then $c = (\int_X \omega^n)^{-1}$ and this solution must be the Calabi-Yau metric. Here we can prove that if α is a nef but not Kähler class and $[\alpha^{n-1}] \in \mathcal{B}$, then there exist no solutions in $[\alpha^{n-1}]$ to equation (1.1) for $c \leq (\int_X \alpha^n)^{-1}$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be an n-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold with a Calabi-Yau metric ω_0 and a non-vanishing holomorphic n-form ζ such that $\int_X \omega_0^n = 1$ and $\parallel \zeta \parallel_{\omega_0} = 1$. Let $[\alpha] \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}$ such that $\overline{\mathbf{b}}([\alpha]) = [\alpha^{n-1}] \in \mathcal{B}$.*

1. *If $[\alpha] \in \partial\mathcal{K}$, then equation (1.1) for $c \leq (\int_X \alpha^n)^{-1}$ has no solutions in the balanced class $[\alpha^{n-1}]$.*
2. *If $[\alpha] \in \mathcal{K}$, then there exists a unique solution $\Omega_{CY} \in [\alpha^{n-1}]$ to equation (1.1) for $c \leq (\int_X \alpha^n)^{-1}$. Actually in this case, $c = (\int_X \alpha^n)^{-1}$ and $\Omega_{CY} = \omega_{CY}^{n-1}$ for the unique Calabi-Yau metric ω_{CY} in the Kähler class $[\alpha]$.*

We conjecture that for any $c > (\int_X \alpha^n)^{-1}$, the form-type Calabi-Yau equation (1.1) has solutions in the balanced class $[\alpha^{n-1}]$ in the above theorem. In the forthcoming paper, we will consider this question.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we prove Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. We also generalize the result to the Fujiki class $\mathcal{C}$. In section 3 we prove Theorem 1.3 and give two examples. Finally in section 4 we will use Theorem 1.2 to prove Theorem 1.4.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Prof. J.-P. Demailly, Z. Wang, D. Wu and Prof. S.-T. Yau for useful discussions and V. Tosatti for useful suggestions and comments. We would also like to thank Prof. C.-H. Gu, Prof. H.-S. Hu and Prof. Y.-L. Xin for constant encouragements. Fu is supported in part by NSFC grants 11025103 and 11121101.

2. Injectivity

In this section, as a warm-up we first prove Proposition 1.1 which says that the map **b** is injective.

Proof. We need to prove: if ω_1 and ω_2 are two Kähler metrics on X satisfying

$$\omega_1^{n-1} = \omega_2^{n-1} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \tag{2.1}$$

for some real $(n-2, n-2)$-form φ, then there exists a smooth function f on X such that

$$\omega_1 = \omega_2 + i\partial\bar{\partial} f.$$

Let us first recall Yau's celebrated theorem on the complex Monge-Ampere equation on a compact Kähler manifold.

Lemma 2.1. ([24]) *Let X be a compact n-dimensional Kähler manifold with a Kähler metric ω. Then for any smooth volume form $\eta > 0$ satisfying $\int_X \eta = \int_X \omega^n$, there exists a unique Kähler metric $\tilde{\omega} = \omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}u$ in the Kähler class $[\omega]$ such that $\tilde{\omega}^n = \eta$.*

We use Yau's theorem as follows. Let c be the constant:

$$\int_X \omega_2^n = c\int_X \omega_1^n.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $c \geq 1$. Since the class $[\omega_2]$ is Kähler, by Yau's theorem we can find a representative $\tilde{\omega}_2 = \omega_2 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u$ of $[\omega_2]$ such that

$$\tilde{\omega}_2^n = c\omega_1^n. \tag{2.2}$$

However $[\tilde{\omega}_2^{n-1}] = [\omega_2^{n-1}] = [\omega_1^{n-1}]$ implies that there exists a real $(n-2, n-2)$-form ϕ such that

$$\tilde{\omega}_2^{n-1} = \omega_1^{n-1} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi. \tag{2.3}$$

We will use the following notations (see [13]). If Θ is a real $(n-1, n-1)$-form, then $(\Theta_{i\bar{j}})$ is the matrix composed of the coefficients of Θ and $(\Theta^{i\bar{j}})$ is its inverse matric. We will also denote $\det\Theta = \det(\Theta_{i\bar{j}})$. Hence, combining (2.2) with (2.3), we find

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\frac{1}{c}\Big)^{n-1} =& \Big(\frac{\det\omega_1}{\det\tilde{\omega}_2}\Big)^{n-1} = \frac{\det\omega_1^{n-1}}{\det\tilde{\omega}_2^{n-1}} \\ =& \frac{\det\omega_1^{n-1}}{\det(\omega_1^{n-1} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi)}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we follow the proof of Lemma 10 in [13]. We apply the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}c^{\frac{n-1}{n}} =& \Big(\frac{\det(\omega_1^{n-1} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi)}{\det\omega_1^{n-1}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ \leq& 1 + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i,j}(\omega_1^{n-1})^{i\bar{j}}\Big(i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi\Big)_{i\bar{j}},\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

which implies

$$c^{\frac{n-1}{n}}\omega_1^n \leq \omega_1^n + i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi\wedge\omega_1.$$

Integrating over X, since ω_1 is Kähler, we get

$$c^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \int_X \omega_1^n \le \int_X \omega_1^n.$$

This shows that $c = 1$ and we must have a pointwise equality in (2.4). This forces that $i\partial\bar\partial\phi = 0$. Therefore (2.3) implies $\tilde\omega_2 = \omega_1$ and $\omega_1 = \omega_2 + i\partial\bar\partial u$. $\square$

Remark 2.2. *$c = 1$ can also be derived by Demailly's convexity inequality in* [9], *(see the proof of the next theorem).*

Remark 2.3. When $n = 3$, equation (2.1) implies

$$(\omega_1 - \omega_2) \wedge (\omega_1 + \omega_2) = i\partial\bar\partial\varphi.$$

Since $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ is a Kähler metric, by the hard Lefschetz theorem, $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ defines an isomorphism from $H^{1,1}_{dR}(X,\mathbb{R})$ to $H^{2,2}_{dR}(X,\mathbb{R})$. Hence $\omega_1 - \omega_2$ is trivial in $H^{1,1}_{dR}(X,\mathbb{R})$. For $n > 3$, we can rewrite the equation (2.1) as

$$(\omega_1 - \omega_2) \wedge \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \omega_1^{n-k-2} \wedge \omega_2^k\Big) = i\partial\bar\partial\varphi.$$

Here $\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \omega_1^{n-k-2} \wedge \omega_2^k$ is the d-closed strictly positive definite $(n-2, n-2)$-form. In general, such a form can not be representative as ω_0^{n-2} for some Hermitian metric ω_0. Otherwise ω_0 is also Kähler (see [15]) and then the hard Lefschetz theorem also implies $\omega_1 - \omega_2$ is trivial. Anyway we don't know whether there exists an algebraic proof to Proposition 1.

We can generalize the above proposition on the Kähler classes to the nef and big classes. We need the following important theorem in [6].

Lemma 2.4. ([6]) *Let X be a compact n-dimensional Kähler manifold and let η be a smooth volume form on X. Let $[\alpha]$ be a nef and big class on X. Then there exists a unique α-psh function u with* $\sup_X u = 0$ *such that*

$$\big\langle(\alpha + i\partial\bar\partial u)^n\big\rangle = c\eta \quad \text{with } c = \frac{\int_X \alpha^n}{\int_X \eta} > 0.$$

Here $\langle\cdot\rangle$ denotes the non-pluripolar product of positive currents. Moreover, u has minimal singularities and is smooth on $\mathrm{Amp}(\alpha)$*, which is a Zariski open set of X depending only on the cohomology class of α.*

Let us briefly discuss how the solution is obtained. Indeed, the above degenerate complex Monge-Ampere equation can be solved by approximating by Yau's theorem. Fix a Kähler metric ω on X. If we denote $c_t = \int_X (\alpha + t\omega)^n / \int_X \eta$ with $0 < t < 1$, then there exists a unique smooth function u_t with $\sup_X u_t = 0$ such that

$$(\alpha + t\omega + i\partial\bar\partial u_t)^n = c_t\eta.$$

First, by basic properties of plurisubharmonic functions, the family of solutions u_t is compact in $L^1(X)$-topology and then there exists a sequence u_{t_k} such that

$$\alpha + t_k\omega + i\partial\bar\partial u_{t_k} \to \alpha + i\partial\bar\partial u \qquad \text{as currents on } X.$$

Moreover, by the theory developed in [6] and Yau's basic estimates in [24], u_t is compact in $C^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathrm{Amp}(\alpha))$. Therefore there exists a subsequence of u_{t_k}, which we still denote as u_{t_k} (and we will not stress this point in the following), such that

$$\alpha + t_k\omega + i\partial\bar\partial u_{t_k} \to \alpha + i\partial\bar\partial u \qquad \text{in } C^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathrm{Amp}(\alpha)).$$

Hence u is smooth on $\mathrm{Amp}(\alpha)$. Since η is the smooth volume form, $\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}u$ is a Kähler metric on $\mathrm{Amp}(\alpha)$.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2, i.e.,

Theorem 2.5. *Let X be a compact n-dimensional Kähler manifold. Assume $[\alpha]$ and $[\beta]$ are two nef and big classes. If $[\alpha^{n-1}] = [\beta^{n-1}]$, then $[\alpha] = [\beta]$.*

Proof. Since α and β are nef and $[\alpha^{n-1}] = [\beta^{n-1}]$, we have

$$\int_X \beta^n = \int_X \beta \wedge \alpha^{n-1}. \tag{2.5}$$

Then by the convexity inequality in [9], we have

$$\int_X \beta^n \geq \Big(\int_X \beta^n\Big)^{\frac{1}{n}} \Big(\int_X \alpha^n\Big)^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

which implies $\int_X \beta^n \geq \int_X \alpha^n$. Similarly we also have $\int_X \alpha^n \geq \int_X \beta^n$. Thus we get

$$\int_X \alpha^n = \int_X \beta^n. \tag{2.6}$$

We fix a Kähler metric ω and a volume form η on X. We denote $c = \frac{\int_X \alpha^n}{\int_X \eta}$,$c_{\alpha,t} = \frac{\int_X (\alpha+t\omega)^n}{\int_X \eta}$ and $c_{\beta,t} = \frac{\int_X (\beta+t\omega)^n}{\int_X \eta}$ with $0 < t < 1$. Then Lemma 2.1 implies there exist two families of smooth functions u_t and v_t such that, if we denote $\alpha_t = \alpha + t\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_t$ and $\beta_t = \beta + t\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}v_t$,

$$\alpha_t^n = c_{\alpha,t}\eta \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta_t^n = c_{\beta,t}\eta.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\alpha_t^n}{\beta_t^n} = c_t \tag{2.7}$$

with

$$c_t = \frac{c_{\alpha,t}}{c_{\beta,t}} = \frac{\int_X (\alpha + t\omega)^n}{\int_X (\beta + t\omega)^n}. \tag{2.8}$$

Then equality (2.6) implies

$$\lim_{t\to 0} c_t = 1. \tag{2.9}$$

By the condition $[\alpha^{n-1}] = [\beta^{n-1}]$, there exists a $(n-2, n-2)$-form ϕ such that $\alpha^{n-1} = \beta^{n-1} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi$. We rewrite it as

$$\alpha_t^{n-1} = \beta_t^{n-1} + \Theta_t \tag{2.10}$$

for

$$\Theta_t = i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} C_{n-1}^k \big(\alpha^k \wedge (t\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_t)^{n-1-k} - \beta^k \wedge (t\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}v_t)^{n-1-k}\big).$$

Then applying the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality to (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_t^{\frac{n-1}{n}} &= \Big(\frac{\det \alpha_t^{n-1}}{\det \beta_t^{n-1}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \Big(\frac{\det(\beta_t^{n-1} + \Theta_t)}{\det \beta_t^{n-1}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i,j} (\beta_t^{n-1})^{i\bar{j}} (\Theta_t)_{i\bar{j}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

We multiply the volume form β_t^n to both sides of the above inequality to get

$$c_t^{\frac{n-1}{n}}\beta_t^n \le \beta_t^n + \beta_t\wedge\Theta_t. \tag{2.12}$$

We consider the limit of $\beta_t\wedge\Theta_t$ as t goes to zero. By (2.10), we have

$$\beta_t\wedge\Theta_t = \beta_t\wedge\alpha_t^{n-1} - \beta_t^n.$$

Certainly positive measures $\beta_t\wedge\alpha_t^{n-1}$ and β_t^n have uniformly bounded masses:

$$\begin{aligned}||\beta_t\wedge\alpha_t^{n-1}||_{\mathrm{mass}} &= \int_X(\beta+t\omega)\wedge(\alpha+t\omega)^{n-1}\\ &< \int_X(\beta+\omega)\wedge(\alpha+\omega)^{n-1},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$||\beta_t^n||_{\mathrm{mass}} = \int_X(\beta+t\omega)^n < \int_X(\beta+\omega)^n.$$

Hence we can pick a decreasing subsequence $t_k\to 0$ such that $\beta_{t_k}\wedge\alpha_{t_k}^{n-1}$ and $\beta_{t_k}^n$ weakly converge to μ_1 and μ_2 respectively. Therefore if we denote $\mu_0=\mu_1-\mu_2$, then as currents,

$$\beta_{t_k}\wedge\Theta_{t_k}\to\mu_0 \quad \text{when} \quad t_k\to 0.$$

Moreover, it is not hard to see that from (2.9) and (2.12) μ_0 is the positive measure on X. Meanwhile, since

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X\mu_0 &= \int_X\mu_1-\int_X\mu_2\\ &= \lim_{t_k\to 0}\int_X(\beta_{t_k}\wedge\alpha_{t_k}^{n-1}-\beta_{t_k}^n)\\ &= \int_X(\beta\wedge\alpha^{n-1}-\beta^n),\end{aligned}$$

(2.5) implies $\int_X\mu_0=0$. Thus μ_0 is a zero measure. In particular, since $\Xi:=\mathrm{Amp}(\alpha)\cap\mathrm{Amp}(\beta)$ is a Borel set, we have

$$\beta_{t_k}\wedge\Theta_{t_k}\to 0 \quad \text{as currents on } \Xi. \tag{2.13}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.4, there exists a unique α-psh function u_0 with $\sup_X u_0=0$ and a unique β-psh function v_0 with $\sup_X v_0=0$ such that

$$\left\langle(\alpha+i\partial\bar\partial u_0)^n\right\rangle = c\eta \quad \text{and} \quad \left\langle(\beta+i\partial\bar\partial v_0)^n\right\rangle = c\eta,$$

and u_0 is smooth on $\mathrm{Amp}(\alpha)$ and v_0 is smooth on $\mathrm{Amp}(\beta)$. Here $c=\frac{\int_X\alpha^n}{\int_X\eta}=\frac{\int_X\beta^n}{\int_X\eta}$ as we have (2.6). If we denote $\alpha_0=\alpha+i\partial\bar\partial u_0$ and $\beta_0=\beta+i\partial\bar\partial v_0$, then as discussed before, there exist subsequences α_{t_k} of α_t and β_{t_k} of β_t such that

$$\alpha_{t_k}\to\alpha_0 \quad \text{in } C^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathrm{Amp}(\alpha))$$

and

$$\beta_{t_k}\to\beta_0 \quad \text{in } C^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathrm{Amp}(\beta)).$$

Thus,

$$\Theta_{t_k}\to\Theta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{t_k}\wedge\Theta_{t_k}\to\beta_0\wedge\Theta_0 \quad \text{in } C^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Xi) \tag{2.14}$$

for some smooth form Θ_0 which is only defined on Ξ. Combining (2.13) with (2.14) and then using the uniqueness of limit, we obtain

$$\beta_0\wedge\Theta_0 = 0 \quad \text{on } \Xi.$$

Above equality and (2.9) imply that on Ξ, if we take the limits of both side of (2.11) as $t \to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \Big(\frac{\det \alpha_0^{n-1}}{\det \beta_0^{n-1}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \Big(\frac{\det(\beta_0^{n-1} + \Theta_0)}{\det \beta_0^{n-1}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &\le 1 + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i,j}(\beta_0^{n-1})^{i\bar{j}}(\Theta_0)_{i\bar{j}} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

which forces $\Theta_0 = 0$ on Ξ. Hence $\alpha_0^{n-1} = \beta_0^{n-1}$ on Ξ. Since α_0 and β_0 are Kähler metrics on Ξ, we have $\alpha_0 = \beta_0$ on Ξ.

We claim $\alpha_0 = \beta_0$ on X. Before going on, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.6. ([10]) *Let T be a d-closed (p,p)-current and* $\operatorname{supp} T$ *contained in an analytic subset A. If* $\dim A < n-p$, *then $T = 0$; if T is of order zero and A is of dimension $n-p$ with $(n-p)$-dimensional irreducible components $A_1, \cdots, A_k$, then $T = \sum c_j[A_j]$ with $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Lemma 2.7. ([5]) *Let $[\alpha]$ be a nef and big class, and $T_{\min}$ a positive current in $[\alpha]$ with minimal singularities. Then the Lelong number $\nu(T_{\min}, x) = 0$ for any point $x \in X$.*

We continue to do our proof. If we let $T = \alpha_0 - \beta_0$, then T is a real d-closed (1,1)-current and $\operatorname{supp} T \subset X - \Xi$. If $X - \Xi$ is of codimension bigger than one, then Lemma 2.6 implies $T = 0$. Hence $\alpha_0 = \beta_0$ on X. If $X - \Xi$ has codimension one with irreducible components $D_1, \cdots, D_k$, then Lemma 2.6 implies $\alpha_0 - \beta_0 = \sum c_j[D_j]$. Since α_0 and β_0 are real. all c_j can be chosen to be in $\mathbb{R}$. If there exists at least a $c_j > 0$, we write this equality as

$$\alpha_0 - \sum c_{j'}[D_{j'}] = \beta_0 + \sum c_{j''}[D_{j''}] \tag{2.15}$$

with $c_{j'} \le 0$ and $c_{j''} > 0$. Fix a j'' which we denote as j_0''. We take a generic point $x \in D_{j_0''}$, for example, we can take such a point x with $\nu([D_{j_0''}], x) = 1$ and $x \notin X - D_{j_0''}$. Then taking the Lelong number at the point x on both sides of (2.15), we find

$$\nu(\alpha_0, x) - \sum c_{j'}\nu([D_{j'}], x) = \nu(\beta_0, x) + \sum c_{j''}\nu([D_{j''}], x).$$

Since α_0 and β_0 are positive currents with minimal singularities in nef and big classes, Lemma 2.7 tells us that $\nu(\alpha_0, x) = 0$ and $\nu(\beta_0, x) = 0$. The property of x also implies $\nu([D_{j'}], x) = 0$ and $\nu([D_{j''}], x) = 0$ for all j' and all $j'' \ne j_0''$. All these force $c_{j_0''} = 0$, which contradicts to our assumption $c_{j_0''} > 0$. Thus we have

$$\alpha_0 - \sum c_{j'}[D_{j'}] = \beta_0.$$

As the same reason, we can also prove $c_{j'} = 0$. Hence $\alpha_0 = \beta_0$ on X. Therefore, we have $[\alpha] = [\beta]$ on X. □

The above result is also true if X is merely in the Fujiki class $\mathcal{C}$. The definition of the nef class on a compact complex manifold can be consulted to paper [11].

Corollary 2.8. *Let X be a compact complex n-dimensional manifold in the Fujiki class $\mathcal{C}$. Assume that $[\alpha]$ and $[\beta]$ are two nef and big classes. If $[\alpha^{n-1}] = [\beta^{n-1}]$, then $[\alpha] = [\beta]$.*

Proof. Since X is in the Fujiki class $\mathcal{C}$, there exists a proper modification $\mu : \bar{X} \to X$ with $\bar{X}$ a compact Kähler manifold. By assumptions on α and β, $[\mu^*\alpha]$ and $[\mu^*\beta]$ are also nef and big classes on $\bar{X}$ satisfying

$$[(\mu^*\alpha)^{n-1}] = [(\mu^*\beta)^{n-1}].$$

Then by the above theorem, we have

$$[\mu^*\alpha] = [\mu^*\beta],$$

which, as μ is birational, implies $[\alpha] = [\beta]$. $\square$

Note on a Moishezon manifold, M. Paun ([20]) proved that for a holomorphic line bundle L, $c_1(L)$ is nef if and only if $L \cdot C \geq 0$ for every irreducible curve C. Thus our result yields the following

Corollary 2.9. *Let X be a compact n-dimensional Moishezon manifold. Let L be a big line bundle over X and $L \cdot C \geq 0$ for every irreducible curve C on X. Then $c_1(L)^{n-1}$ determines $c_1(L)$.*

3. The image of the boundary of the Kähler cone

Sometimes it is convenient to consider the Aeppli cohomology groups $V^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C})$. Since we are interested in the real case, we give the following

Definition 3.1. *If we denote $A^{p,p}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ the space of the smooth $\mathbb{R}$-valued (p,p)-forms, then*

$$V^{p,p}(X,\mathbb{R}) = \frac{\{\phi \in A^{p,p}_{\mathbb{R}}(X) | \partial\bar{\partial}\phi = 0\}}{\{\partial A^{p-1,p}(X) + \bar{\partial} A^{p,p-1}(X)\} \cap A^{p,p}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)}.$$

We denote the space of (p,q)-currents by $D'^{p,q}(X)$. Then it is well known that we can also replace $A^{p,q}$ by $D'^{p,q}$ in the above definition. We denote an element of the above cohomology groups by $[\cdot]_a$.

We need the following lemma due to Bigolin.

Lemma 3.2. ([4]) *Let X be a compact complex n-dimensional manifold. The dual space of the (p,p)-th Aeppli group is just the $(n-p,n-p)$-th Bott-Chern group, i.e.,*

$$V^{p,p}(X,\mathbb{R})' = H^{n-p,n-p}_{BC}(X,\mathbb{R}).$$

In particular, $V^{p,p}(X,\mathbb{R})$ is a finite dimensional vector space. Furthermore, if X satisfies the $\partial\bar{\partial}$-lemma, then $\dim V^{p,p}(X,\mathbb{R}) = h^{p,p}$, where $h^{p,q}$ is the Hodge number. The following lemma is inspired by the method in [19] and is an easy consequence of the Hahn-Banach theorem.

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a compact complex n-dimensional manifold. Suppose that Ω_0 is a real d-closed $(n-1,n-1)$-form satisfying that, for any positive $\partial\bar{\partial}$-closed $(1,1)$-current T, $\int_X \Omega_0 \wedge T \geq 0$ and $\int_X \Omega_0 \wedge T = 0$ if and only if $T = 0$. Then $[\Omega_0]$ is a balanced class.*

Proof. Fix a Hermitian metric ω on X. We define the following two subsets of $D'^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \{T \in D'^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(X) | \partial\bar{\partial}T = 0, \int_X \Omega_0 \wedge T = 0\}, \\ D_2 &= \{T \in D'^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(X) | T \geq 0, \int_X \omega^{n-1} \wedge T = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then D_1 is a closed subspace and D_2 is a compact convex subset under the weak topology of currents. Since Ω_0 is d-closed, D_1 contains the subset $\{\partial\bar{S}+\bar{\partial}S | S\in D'^{1,0}(X,\mathbb{C})\}$. It is clear that $D_1\cap D_2$ is empty. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists a smooth real $(n-1,n-1)$-form Ω such that $\Omega|_{D_1}=0$ and $\Omega|_{D_2}>0$. $\Omega|_{D_1}=0$ and $D_1 \supseteq \{\partial\bar{S}+\bar{\partial}S | S\in D'^{1,0}(X,\mathbb{C})\}$ imply $d\Omega=0$, and $\Omega|_{D_2}>0$ implies Ω is strictly positive. Hence Ω is a balanced metric.

On the other hand, Lemma 3.2 says that $[\Omega_0]$ and $[\Omega]$ are linear functionals on $V^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})$. We have a natural projective map

$$\pi : \{T\in D'^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(X) | \partial\bar{\partial}T=0\}\to V^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})$$

with $\pi(T)=[T]_a$. Then the definition of D_1 implies $\pi(D_1)=\ker[\Omega_0]$ and $\Omega|_{D_1}=0$ implies $\pi(D_1)\subseteq\ker[\Omega]$. Thus we have

$$\ker[\Omega_0]\subseteq\ker[\Omega]\subseteq V^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R}).$$

If $\ker[\Omega]$ is a proper subspace, since $V^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})$ is a finite dimensional vector space, we must have $\ker[\Omega_0]=\ker[\Omega]$. Hence there exists a positive constant c such that $[\Omega_0]=c[\Omega]$ and this implies $[\Omega_0]$ is balanced. If $\ker[\Omega]$ is the whole Aeppli group, then $[\Omega]$=0. Since X is compact, there exists an $\varepsilon>0$ small enough such that $\Omega+\varepsilon\Omega_0>0$, i.e., $[\Omega]+\varepsilon[\Omega_0]=\varepsilon[\Omega_0]$ is balanced. This finishes our proof of Lemma 3.3. □

The above lemma implies the following two interesting propositions. Let Ω_0 be a semi-positive $(n-1,n-1)$-form on X and strictly positive on $X-V$ for a subvariety V of X. If codim $V>1$, we first recall Theorem 1.1 in [1].

Lemma 3.4. [1] *Let X be a complex n-dimensional manifold. Assume T is a $\partial\bar{\partial}$-closed positive (p,p)-current on X such that the Hausdorff $2(n-p)$-measure of* supp T *vanishes. Then $T=0$.*

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose X is a compact complex n-dimensional manifold. If Ω_0 is a d-closed semi-positive $(n-1,n-1)$-form on X and is strictly positive outside a subvariety V with* codim $V>1$, *then $[\Omega_0]$ is a balanced class.*

Proof. Fix a $\partial\bar{\partial}$-closed positive $(1,1)$-current T. Then $\Omega_0\geq 0$ implies $\int_X\Omega_0\wedge T\geq 0$. And $\Omega_0>0$ on $X-V$ implies that $\int_X\Omega_0\wedge T=0$ if and only if supp $T\subset V$. Hence according to the above lemma, since T is $\partial\bar{\partial}$-closed and codim $V>1$, we have $T=0$. Thus Ω_0 satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.3 and therefore is in the balanced class. □

If codim $V=1$, then Ω_0 is balanced implies $\int_V\Omega_0>0$. We want to prove this is also a sufficient condition when Ω_0 is semi-positive on X and is strictly positive on $X-V$. We need Theorem 1.5 in [1].

Lemma 3.6. ([1]) *Let X be a complex n-dimensional manifold and E a compact analytic subset. Let $E_1,\cdots,E_k$ be the irreducible p-dimensional components of E. Assume T is a positive $\partial\bar{\partial}$-closed $(n-p,n-p)$-current such that* supp $T\subset E$. *Then there exist constants $c_j\geq 0$ such that $T-\sum_1^k c_j[E_j]$ is a positive $\partial\bar{\partial}$-closed $(n-p,n-p)$-current on X, supported on the union of the irreducible components of E of dimension bigger than p.*

Then we have

Proposition 3.7. *Let X be a compact complex n-dimensional manifold. If Ω_0 is a d-closed semipositive $(n-1,n-1)$-form on X such that it is strictly positive outside a codimension one subvariety V with irreducible components $E_1, \cdots, E_k$ and $[\Omega_0] \cdot [E_j] > 0$ for $j = 1, \cdots, k$, then $[\Omega_0]$ is a balanced class.*

Proof. Since Ω_0 is a semi-positive form on X, for any $\partial\bar{\partial}$-closed positive $(1,1)$-current T on X, $\int_X \Omega_0 \wedge T \geq 0$, and $\int_X \Omega_0 \wedge T = 0$ implies supp $T \subset V$. We need to prove $T = 0$. By the above lemma, there exist constants $c_j \geq 0$ such that

$$T = \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j [E_j].$$

Hence $[\Omega_0] \cdot T = 0$ implies that if $[\Omega_0] \cdot [E_j] > 0$, the constants c_j must be zero. This implies $T = 0$. Thus by Lemma 3.3, $[\Omega_0]$ is the balanced class. □

Now we apply Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.7 to a nef and big class on a projective Calabi-Yau manifold. We need the following lemma given by Tosatti.

Lemma 3.8. ([22]) *Let X be a projective Calabi-Yau n-dimensional manifold and let $[\alpha] \in \partial\mathcal{K}_{NS}$ be a big class. Then there exists a smooth form $\alpha_0 \in [\alpha]$ which is nonnegative and strictly positive outside a proper subvariety of X.*

The proper subvariety in the above lemma is the exceptional set of the contraction map $F_{[\alpha]}$ induced by $[\alpha]$,

$$F_{[\alpha]} : X \to \mathbb{P}^N,$$

and $\alpha_0 \in [\alpha]$ is the pullback of the Fubini-Study metric, i.e., $\alpha_0 = \frac{F_{[\alpha]}^* \omega_{FS}}{k}$, where k is the positive integer depending on $[\alpha]$. For more details, please see [22].

Now we can prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, there exists a semipositive $(1,1)$-form $\alpha_0 \in [\alpha]$ such that α_0 is strictly positive outside a subvariety V. If V is of codimension bigger than one, then Proposition 3.5 implies $[\alpha^{n-1}] = [\alpha_0^{n-1}]$ is a balanced metric. If V is of codimension one with irreducible components $E_1, \cdots, E_k$ such that $[\alpha^{n-1}] \cdot [E_j] > 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$, then Proposition 3.7 implies $[\alpha^{n-1}] \in \mathcal{B}$. On the other hand, the converse is obvious.

Next, let's prove $[\alpha^{n-1}] \in \mathcal{B}$ implies $[\alpha]$ is a big class. Otherwise, we would have $\int_X \alpha^n = 0$. Since $[\alpha]$ is nef, by Demailly-Paun's theorem in [11] there exists a positive current $T \in [\alpha]$. Hence

$$\int_X \alpha^{n-1} \wedge T = \int_X \alpha^n = 0.$$

Then $[\alpha^{n-1}] \in \mathcal{B}$ implies $T = 0$. Thus $[\alpha] = [T] = 0$. It's a contradiction. □

We shall give some examples which holomorphic maps contract high codimensional subvarieties to points, so we can apply Theorem 1.3. The fist one is known as conifold in the physics literature [16] (see also [21]). We learn this from [22]. Let X_0 be a nodal quintic in $\mathbb{P}^4$ which has 16 nodal points. Then a smooth Calabi-Yau manifold X is given by a small resolution $f : X \to X_0$, that is a birational morphism such that it is an isomorphism outside the preimages of the nodes, which are

16 rational curves. Thus we get a contracting map from X to $\mathbb{P}^4$. It is easy to see that the pullback of the Fubini-Study metric is our desired form.

There are also another examples from algebraic geometry (see [8], page 24-26). Let r and s be positive integers, let E be the vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^s$ associated with the locally free sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s}(1)^{r+1}$, and let $Y_{r,s}$ be the smooth $(r+s+1)$-dimensional variety $\mathbb{P}(E^*)$. The projection $\pi : Y_{r,s} \to \mathbb{P}^s$ has a section $P_{r,s}$ corresponding to the trivial quotient of E. The linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{Y_{r,s}}(1)|$ is base point free. Hence it induces a holomorphic map:

$$C_{r,s} : Y_{r,s} \to \mathbb{P}^{(r+1)(s+1)}.$$

Moreover, $C_{r,s}$ contracts $P_{r,s}$ to a point and is an immersion on its complement. And its image is the cone over the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^r \times \mathbb{P}^s$.

Thus, the pull-back of the Fubini-Study metric of $\mathbb{P}^{(r+1)(s+1)}$ is a smooth $(1,1)$-form $\alpha = C^*_{r,s}\omega_{FS}$. Clearly α is pointwise nonnegative on the whole $Y_{r,s}$ and is strictly positive outside $P_{r,s}$ with codimension $r+1$. Thus $[\alpha^{r+s}]$ is a balanced class on $\mathbb{P}(E^*)$. Furthermore, $\int_{P_{r,s}} \alpha^s = 0$ implies $\alpha \in \partial\mathcal{K}(Y_{r,s})$.

Indeed, there are a lot of such examples in Minimal Model Program when we encounter contraction maps of flipping type ([17]).

The following comment is given by V. Tosatti. (Compare with the proof of Proposition 4.1 in [22].) If we want more examples of birational contraction morphisms like in Lemma 3.8, we can take more generally X any smooth projective variety with $-K_X$ nef. For example this includes Calabi-Yau but also Fano manifolds. Under this assumption, if L is any line bundle on X which is nef and big, then Kawamata's base-point-free theorem again gives us that L is semi-ample and so there is a birational contraction F_L exactly as in Lemma 3.8. It also works for $\mathbb{R}$-linear combinations of line bundles (i.e. big classes on the boundary of $\mathcal{K}_{NS}$), because again the big points on the boundary of $\mathcal{K}_{NS}$ are locally rational polyhedral (if X is Fano, then the whole boundary of $\mathcal{K}_{NS}$ is rational polyhedral).

Thus if $Y_{r,s}$ in above example has nef anticanonical bundle, we can still apply Theorem 1.3.

4. CHARACTERIZATION THEOREM ON A NEF CLASS BEING KÄHLER

Using a similar method as in section 1, we can characterize when a nef class $[\alpha]$ is Kähler if $[\alpha^{n-1}]$ is a balanced class.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a compact n-dimensional Kähler manifold and η a smooth volume form of X. Assume that $[\alpha]$ is a nef class such that $[\alpha^{n-1}]$ is a balanced class. (So $[\alpha]$ is big.) If there exists a balanced metric $\tilde{\omega}$ in $[\alpha^{n-1}]$ (i.e., $\tilde{\omega}^{n-1} \in [\alpha^{n-1}]$) such that $c_{\tilde{\omega}} \geq c_\alpha$ with $c_{\tilde{\omega}} = \min_X \frac{\tilde{\omega}^n}{\eta}$ and $c_\alpha = \frac{\int_X \alpha^n}{\int_X \eta}$, then $[\alpha]$ is a Kähler class.*

Proof. Since $\tilde{\omega}^{n-1} \in [\alpha^{n-1}]$, there exists a smooth $(n-2,n-2)$-form ϕ such that

$$\tilde{\omega}^{n-1} = \alpha^{n-1} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi > 0.$$

Fix a Kähler metric ω on X. Then for $0 < t << 1$,

$$(\alpha + t\omega)^{n-1} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi = \tilde{\omega}^{n-1} + O(t) > 0.$$

Thus there exists a balanced metric $\tilde\omega_t$ such that

$$\tilde\omega_t^{n-1} = (\alpha + t\omega)^{n-1} + i\partial\bar\partial\phi \tag{4.1}$$

and $\tilde\omega_0 = \tilde\omega$. Clearly $\tilde\omega_t \to \tilde\omega$ in $C^\infty(\Lambda^{1,1}(X))$ as $t \to 0$. Then if we let $F_{\tilde\omega_t} := \frac{\tilde\omega_t^n}{\eta}$, we have

$$F_{\tilde\omega_t} \to F_{\tilde\omega}$$

in $C^\infty(X)$ as $t \to 0$.

On the other hand, since $[\alpha + t\omega]$ is the Kähler class, by Lemma 2.1 there exists a family of smooth functions u_t such that $\alpha + t\omega + i\partial\bar\partial u_t$ is Kähler and

$$(\alpha + t\omega + i\partial\bar\partial u_t)^n = c_t\eta$$

with $c_t = \frac{\int_X (\alpha+t\omega)^n}{\int_X \eta}$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.4, there also exists an α-psh function u_0 such that

$$\big\langle(\alpha + i\partial\bar\partial u_0)^n\big\rangle = c_\alpha\eta.$$

Such u_t and u_0 satisfy the following relations

$$\alpha + t\omega + i\partial\bar\partial u_t \to \alpha + i\partial\bar\partial u_0 \quad \text{as currents on } X$$

and

$$\alpha + t\omega + i\partial\bar\partial u_t \to \alpha + i\partial\bar\partial u_0 \quad \text{in } C^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathrm{Amp}(\alpha)). \tag{4.2}$$

We denote $\alpha_t = \alpha + t\omega + i\partial\bar\partial u_t$ and $\alpha_0 = \alpha + i\partial\bar\partial u_0$. Then from (4.1), we have

$$\tilde\omega_t^{n-1} = \alpha_t^{n-1} + i\partial\bar\partial\phi_t \tag{4.3}$$

for some smooth $(n-2, n-2)$-form ϕ_t on X.

From above notations, we have

$$\frac{F_{\tilde\omega_t}}{c_t} = \frac{\tilde\omega_t^n}{\alpha_t^n}.$$

We apply the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\frac{F_{\tilde\omega_t}}{c_t}\Big)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} =& \Big(\frac{\det(\alpha_t^{n-1} + i\partial\bar\partial\phi_t)}{\det\alpha_t^{n-1}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{n}}\\ \le& 1 + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k,l}(\alpha_t^{n-1})^{k\bar l}(i\partial\bar\partial\phi_t)_{k\bar l},\end{aligned}$$

or equivalently, we have

$$\Big(\frac{F_{\tilde\omega_t}}{c_t}\Big)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}\alpha_t^n \le \alpha_t^n + \alpha_t \wedge i\partial\bar\partial\phi_t.$$

We deal with the second term in above equality

$$\alpha_t \wedge i\partial\bar\partial\phi_t = \alpha_t \wedge \tilde\omega_t^{n-1} - \alpha_t^n.$$

As discussed in the proof of Theorem 2.5, there exists a convergent subsequence $\alpha_{t_k} \wedge \tilde\omega_{t_k}^{n-1}$ of measures $\alpha_t \wedge \tilde\omega_t^{n-1}$ and a convergent sequence $\alpha_{t_k}^n$ of measures α_t^n. If we denote their limits by μ_1 and μ_2, and denote $\mu_0 = \mu_1 - \mu_2$, then we have

$$\alpha_{t_k} \wedge i\partial\bar\partial\phi_{t_k} \to \mu_0 \quad \text{as currents.}$$

After integrating (4) for t_k with respect to any positive smooth function, and letting t_k go to zero, we find that the condition $c_{\tilde\omega} \ge c_0$ implies μ_0 is the positive current.

Meanwhile, since

$$\int_X \mu_0 = \lim_{t\to 0}\int_X \alpha_t \wedge \tilde{\omega}_t^{n-1} - \alpha_t^n$$
$$= \int_X \alpha \wedge (\tilde{\omega}^{n-1} - \alpha^{n-1}),$$

and since α is nef and $\tilde{\omega}^{n-1} \in [\alpha^{n-1}]$, we have $\int_X \mu_0 = 0$. Thus $\mu_0 = 0$ and $F_{\tilde{\omega}} = c_\alpha$ pointwise.

We define on $\mathrm{Amp}(\alpha)$ a smooth $(1,1)$-form

$$\Psi_0 = \lim_{t\to 0} i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_t.$$

Then from (4.3), (4.2) and (4.1), we have

$$\Psi_0 = \lim_{t\to 0}(\tilde{\omega}_t^{n-1} - \alpha_t^{n-1}) = \tilde{\omega}^{n-1} - \alpha_0^{n-1}.$$

Hence by the uniqueness of limit, we have on $\mathrm{Amp}(\alpha)$

$$\alpha_0 \wedge \Psi_0 = 0.$$

Since $F_{\tilde{\omega}} = c_\alpha$, this implies that on $\mathrm{Amp}(\alpha)$

$$1 = \Big(\frac{\det \tilde{\omega}^{n-1}}{\det \alpha_0^{n-1}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{n}} \le 1 + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k,l}(\alpha_0^{n-1})^{k\bar{l}}(\Psi_0)_{k\bar{l}} = 1.$$

Thus $\Psi_0 = 0$. Therefore $\tilde{\omega}^{n-1} = \alpha_0^{n-1}$ or $\tilde{\omega} = \alpha_0$ on $\mathrm{Amp}(\alpha)$.

Since $\tilde{\omega}$ is smooth on X and $d\tilde{\omega} = d\alpha_0 = 0$ on $\mathrm{Amp}(\alpha)$, by continuity, $d\tilde{\omega} = 0$ on X, i.e., $\tilde{\omega}$ is a Kähler metric on X. However, since $[\tilde{\omega}^{n-1}] = [\alpha^{n-1}]$, by Theorem 2, $[\tilde{\omega}] = [\alpha]$. Thus $[\alpha]$ is a Kähler calss. □

Now we are arriving at the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof. We assume that there exists a solution $\Omega_{CY} \in [\alpha^{n-1}]$ to equation (1.1) for $c \le (\int_X \alpha^n)^{-1}$. We write $\Omega_{CY} = \tilde{\omega}^{n-1}$ and then compute

$$\frac{\tilde{\omega}^n}{\omega_0^n} = \frac{\parallel \zeta \parallel_{\omega_0}^2}{\parallel \zeta \parallel_{\tilde{\omega}}^2} = \frac{1}{\parallel \zeta \parallel_{\Omega_{CY}}} = \frac{1}{c} \ge \frac{\int_X \alpha^n}{\int_X \omega_0^n}.$$

Hence we can use the above theorem. Thus $[\alpha]$ is a Kähler class. Now the proof follows from Theorem 1.3 in [13]. □

References

[1] L. Alessandrini and G. Bassanelli, *Positive $\partial\bar{\partial}$-closed currents and non-Kähler geometry*, J. Geom. Anal. **2** (1992), 291-316.

[2] L. Alessandrini and G. Bassanelli, *Metric properties of manifolds bimeromorphic to compact Kähler spaces*, J. Diff. Geom. **37** (1993), 95-121.

[3] L. Alessandrini and G. Bassanelli, *Modifications of compact balanced manifolds*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **320** (1995), 1517-1522.

[4] B. Bigolin, *Gruppi di Aeppli*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **23** (1969), 259-287.

[5] S. Boucksom, *Divisorial Zariski decompositions on compact complex manifolds*, Ann. Sci. École. Norm. Sup. **37** (2004), 45-76.

[6] S. Boucksom, P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj aned A. Zeriahi, *Monge-Ampere equationas in big cohomology classes*, Acta Math. **205** (2010), 199-262.

[7] S. Boucksom, J.-P. Demailly, M. Paun and T. Petern, *The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension*, arXiv:math/0405285.

[8] O. Debarre, *Higher-Dimensional Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 2001.

[9] J.-P. Demailly, *A numerical criterion for very ample line bundles*, J. Diff. Geom. **37** (1993), 323-374.

[10] J.-P. Demailly, *Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry*, http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/∼demailly/books.html.

[11] J.-P. Demailly and M. Paun, *Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold*, Ann. Math. **159** (2004), 1247-1274.

[12] J. Fu, J. Li and S.-T. Yau, *Balanced metrics on non-Kähler Calabi-Yau threefolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **90** (2012), 81-130.

[13] J. Fu, Z. Wang and D. Wu, *Form-type Calabi-Yau equations*, Math. Res. Lett. **17** (2010), 887-903.

[14] J. Fu, Z. Wang and D. Wu, *Form-type Calabi-Yau equations on Kähler manifolds of non-negative orthogonal bisectional curvature*, arXiv:1010.2022v2.

[15] A. Gray and L. M. Hervella, *The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants*, Annali Mat. Pura Appl. **123** (1980), 35-58.

[16] B. R. Green, D. R. Morrison and A. Strominger, *Black hole condension and the unification of string vacua*, Nuclear Phys. B **451** (1995), 109-120.

[17] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda and K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the Minimal Model Problem*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics **10** (1987), 283-360.

[18] M. L. Michelson, *On the existence of special metrics on complex geometry*, Acta. Math. **149** (1982), 261-295.

[19] M. Toma, *A note on the cone of mobile curves*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **348** (2010), 71-73.

[20] M. Paun, *Sur l'effectivité numérique des images inverses de fibrés en droites*, Math. Ann. **310** (1998), 411-421.

[21] M. Rossi, *Geometric transitions*, J. Geom. Phys. **56** (2006), 1940-1983.

[22] V. Tosatti, *Limits of Calabi-Yau metrics when the Kähler class degenerates*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **11** (2009), 755-776.

[23] C. Voisin, *Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry*, I, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **76**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003.

[24] S.-T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampere equation*, I, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. **31** (1978), 339-411.

Institute of Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China
E-mail address: `majxfu@fudan.edu.cn`
E-mail address: `xiao_math@yahooo.com`